

● PRINTER RUSH ●
(PTO ASSISTANCE)

Aughenbaugh

Application : <u>10/020,922</u>	Examiner : <u>Py/N</u>	GAU : <u>1772</u>
From: <u>MR</u>	Location: <u>IDC</u> FMF FDC	Date: <u>04-02-05</u>
Tracking #: <u>06056197</u>		Week Date: <u>12-27-04</u>

DOC CODE	DOC DATE	MISCELLANEOUS
<input type="checkbox"/> 1449	_____	<input type="checkbox"/> Continuing Data
<input type="checkbox"/> IDS	_____	<input type="checkbox"/> Foreign Priority
<input type="checkbox"/> CLM	_____	<input type="checkbox"/> Document Legibility
<input type="checkbox"/> IIFW	_____	<input type="checkbox"/> Fees
<input type="checkbox"/> SRFW	_____	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other
<input type="checkbox"/> DRW	_____	
<input type="checkbox"/> OATH	_____	
<input type="checkbox"/> 312	_____	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SPEC	_____	

[RUSH] MESSAGE: ① No brief description of drawings found in specification/text.
② Amendment B states that there's an abstract change but nothing found in the file.
please advise.

Thank you,
MR

[XRUSH] RESPONSE: ① There are no Figures in this Application. The "Figure 1" was submitted as an attachment to the Amendment dated 02/27/04 in support of arguments presented in this Amendment (see pg. 23-24 of Amdt dated 02/27/04). The "Figure 1" was not intended to be a Figure of the patent. Examiner verified this with ~~Examiner's~~ Applicant's representative in a phone conversation on April 25, 2005.
② The abstract was included in the 07/28/03 Amdt but was not scanned into IIFW - see paragraph 6 of Office Action mailed 12/01/03. App's representative faxed a copy of this abstract to Examiner on April 25, 2005. Will be scanned. INITIALS: WBA.

NOTE: This form will be included as part of the official USPTO record, with the Response document coded as XRUSH.

REV 10/04

→ Examiner's Amendment to abstract necessary,